

'the truth'

against the positivism which halts at
phenomena - 'There are only facts' - I would say: no, facts are
just w**H**at
th**E**re aren't, there are only

in**T**erpretations. we cannot
deter**M**ine any fact 'in itself': perhaps it's non- sensical to want
to do s**U**ch a thing.
'every**T**hing is subjective,'
you say: but t**H**at itself

is an interpretat**I**on, for the 'subject' is not something given but a fiction added on, tucked behind. -
is it even nece**S**sary to posit the

inte**R**preter behind the
interpretat**I**on? even that is fiction, hypothesis. inasmuch
as the wo**R**d
'know**E**dge' has any
meaning at a**L**l, the world is knowable:
but it is various**L**y

inter**P**retable; it has no meaning behind
it, b**U**t countless meanings.
'pe**R**spectivism'.
it is our ne**E**ds *which interpret the world*: our drives and their for and

ag**A**inst. every drive is
a ki**N**d of lust
for **D**omination, each has its per- spective, which it would like to impose

as a **N**orm on all the
oth**E**r
dri**V**es. against the positivism which halts at
ph**E**nomena -
'the**R**e are only facts' -

i would **S**ay: no, facts are just what there aren't, there are only
interpretat**I**ons. we cannot
deter**M**ine any fact 'in itself':
perha**P**s it's non-
sensica**L** to want to do such a thing.

'ev**E**rything is subjective,' you say: but that itself is an *interpretation*, for the 'subject'
is not something given but a fiction added on, tucked behind.